Interview Summary

	Michael B. Holmes	2129	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Michael B. Holmes, Primary Examiner</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Pedro Suarez, Reg. No. 45,895</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 19 June 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	ı)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Informed Mr. Suarez, the unsuccessful i.e., on (0414/2008) mail was retruned as une</u>	nt prior attempts by the USPTO		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	/Michael B. Holmes/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 21 Examiner's signature, if requi		